Capstone White Paper

THE LONGEVITY OF BUSINESS

The Playbook for the Next Decade: Building Trust and Desire Through Three Levers

Capstone Leads: Miranda Spears & Maksoom Zahara
White Paper Lead: Lamis Alkhatib
Quantitative Lead: Aamir Attarwala
Qualitative Lead: Lauren Furnari
PR Lead: Alice Divan
Creative Lead: Yeon Jung Roh

Table of Contents

Abstract

The contemporary marketplace confronts brand managers with an unprecedented convergence of structural pressures: escalating consumer choice fatigue, the institutional collapse of brand-controlled

trust channels, and a wellness economy projected to reach $9.8 trillion by 2029 that is flooding every category with intensified competition. In the fragrance and cosmetics sector, these pressures are acutely evident. Brands that continue to compete on volume, through additional product launches, expanded promotional spending, and broader platform coverage, are applying the same logic that generated the crisis in the first place.

This paper proposes an original strategic framework, the Triangle of Survival, built on the premise that the only two currencies that compound over a decade of brand-building are Trust and Desire. Drawing on 6 months of cross-category, multi-country primary research; longitudinal trust data from the Edelman Trust Barometer (2026); behavioral economics literature on choice overload; and quantified emotional connection studies from Motista (2018) and the *Harvard Business Review* (2015), this paper argues that Trust and Desire are simultaneously constructed through three interlocking strategic levers: Peer-to-Peer Influence, Cultural Architecture, and Experiential Design.

The paper analyzes each lever through a combination of theoretical grounding and documented brand case evidence, including Amouage, The Ordinary, Volvo, Lakrids, and WHOOP, and synthesizes the analysis into a practical, sequenced implementation roadmap for both heritage and emerging fragrance and cosmetics brands. The central conclusion is that the survival of any brand over the next decade depends not on out-spending or out-launching competitors but on becoming a brand that consumers cannot imagine life without.

1. Introduction: The Choice Paradox and the Business Survival Crisis

1.1 The Scale of Consumer Overwhelm

The modern consumer navigates approximately 35,000 decisions per day (Hick, 1952, as cited in Levitin, 2014). While the majority of these decisions operate below conscious awareness, the cumulative cognitive load is demonstrably real and commercially consequential. Choice fatigue, the documented degradation of decision quality following extended periods of choosing, has migrated from the fringes of behavioral economics into the operating baseline of the contemporary marketplace (Schwartz, 2004). Iyengar and Lepper (2000) established in landmark research that the proliferation of options, though initially appealing, systematically undermines both the motivation to choose and satisfaction with the choices ultimately made. In a marketplace where the average prestige beauty retailer carries thousands of SKUs and new entrants are entering the fragrance and cosmetics category at an accelerating pace, the structural conditions for consumer paralysis are not only present but continuously intensifying.

Proprietary research conducted for this capstone illustrates the severity of this dynamic. When 529 American beauty buyers were asked who they actually trust, only 2% named a brand's own marketing as a credible source. This figure suggests that the overwhelming majority of trust-building activity is occurring in channels that brands do not control. Separately, 55% of buyers in the same survey reported feeling overwhelmed by the process of choosing between brands. When consumers reach this threshold of overwhelm, their behavior shifts in predictable ways: They retreat to the small number of names they already trust and, among those, they select the one they most desire. In a category defined by thousands of competitors, a brand that commands neither trust nor desire is, in practical terms, invisible.

The global beauty market, valued at over $500 billion and growing at approximately 7% annually from 2022 to 2024 (McKinsey & Company, 2025), intersects with an even larger disruption. The global wellness economy reached $6.8 trillion in 2024 and is projected to expand at 7.6% annually through 2029, approaching $9.8 trillion (Global Wellness Institute, 2025). This convergence represents an influx of wellness-positioned brands into categories that once belonged exclusively to fragrance and cosmetics, including skincare, personal care, and sensory products, dramatically amplifying competitive density and the perceptual burden of choice on the consumer.

1.2 The Business Consequence

For brands competing within this environment, the consequences of consumer overwhelm are not abstract. According to the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics (2024), 65.3% of new private-sector businesses fail within their first decade; only one in three survives past the 10-year mark. In a category defined by trend velocity, the survival odds for brands whose competitive strategy rests on volume, novelty, and promotional spending are diminishing as environmental complexity compounds.

The reflexive industry response to saturation has historically been to compete harder on familiar variables: additional product launches, expanded marketing investment, broader distribution, deeper discounts. This paper argues that such a response is structurally counterproductive. Greater product volume and expanded claims are the precise variables that generated the choice paradox in the first place. The consumer confronting an already overwhelming landscape is not asking to be sold to more efficiently. They are asking for a coherent reason to care and a trustworthy reason to believe, and they are asking for both simultaneously.

1.3 The Two Survival Questions: Trust and Desire

This paper proposes that the commercial survival over the next decade of any fragrance or cosmetics brand resolves to two questions that the consumer is continuously, and largely unconsciously, asking: Do I believe this brand? And do I want this brand? The first is the question of Trust. The second is the question of Desire.

These two constructs are neither equivalent nor independently sufficient. Trust without Desire produces a functional utility: a brand that consumers respect, repurchase on occasion, and would not evangelize. Desire without Trust produces a fad: a brand that generates initial excitement, achieves viral visibility, and then collapses as the consumer migrates to the next novelty. The commercial literature is unambiguous on this point. Motista's (2018) landmark study of more than 100,000 retail customers across over 100 brands found that emotionally connected customers, those in whom Trust and Desire are simultaneously present, demonstrate a 306% higher lifetime value than simply satisfied customers, maintain brand relationships for an average of 5.1 years versus 3.4 years, and recommend brands at a rate of 71% versus 45%. Magids, Zorfas, and Leemon (2015), writing in the *Harvard Business Review*, independently found that emotionally connected customers are 52% more valuable than highly satisfied ones, exhibiting greater purchase frequency, reduced price sensitivity, and elevated brand advocacy.

1.4 Research Scope and Paper Structure

This paper draws on 6 months of intensive primary research conducted across multiple countries, consumer categories, and demographic groups, combined with a synthesis of peer-reviewed brand equity literature, behavioral economics scholarship, consumer trust data, and documented brand case evidence. The analysis is organized around the Triangle of Survival: an original strategic framework identifying three interlocking levers through which brands simultaneously build Trust and Desire. Each lever is analyzed theoretically, supported by quantified industry evidence, and illustrated through case examples with verifiable commercial outcomes.

2. Literature Review

2.1 The Economics of Consumer Trust

The commercial value of consumer trust as a brand asset has been extensively documented across marketing, sociology, and behavioral economics literature. Fukuyama (1995) established trust as a form of social capital with measurable economic consequences, a framework that subsequent marketing scholars extended into brand-consumer relationships. The specific dynamics of institutional trust erosion and its migration toward personal networks have been tracked longitudinally by the Edelman Trust Barometer, a 26-year study across more than 30 countries that represents the most comprehensive longitudinal dataset on trust migration available to practitioners and scholars.

Putnam's (2000) sociological analysis of social capital contends that trust operates most powerfully within smaller, bounded communities where repeated interaction creates accountability. This dynamic anticipates the contemporary migration of consumer trust from mass-platform social media toward closed, community-owned digital spaces. Sztompka's (1999) theoretical framework on trust as a sociological phenomenon identifies accountability as the structural prerequisite for genuine trust: Recommendations carry weight precisely because the recommender has something at stake in their accuracy. This accountability dynamic distinguishes offline peer recommendation and closed online community endorsement from brand-produced content and algorithmically distributed influencer messaging.

2.2 Desire, Emotional Connection, and Consumer Behavior

Fournier's (1998) research on consumer-brand relationships established that consumers form genuine bonds with brands that are analogous in structure and consequence to interpersonal relationships. These bonds, characterized by commitment, intimacy, and self-connection, operate at an emotional level qualitatively distinct from satisfaction or preference. Fournier's framework provided the theoretical basis for subsequent commercial research demonstrating that emotional brand attachment produces loyalty that is resistant to competitive disruption in ways that rational preference does not.

Thomson, MacInnis, and Park (2005) extended this framework, demonstrating that emotional attachment to brands is a multidimensional construct encompassing affection, passion, and connection, and that higher-intensity attachment produces more robust commercial outcomes, including greater willingness to pay, stronger repurchase intention, and elevated advocacy. The Motista (2018) and Harvard Business Review (2015) findings reviewed above represent the commercial operationalization of this theoretical tradition, quantifying attachment's business impact at scale.

In the fragrance and cosmetics sector, the emotional dimension of brand attachment is especially pronounced. Olfactory experience is neurologically processed through the limbic system, the brain's primary emotional center, in ways that visual and auditory stimuli are not (Herz, 2016). Fragrance brands, therefore, operate in a category where the product itself is capable of generating emotional memory and attachment of a qualitatively distinct kind. The strategic implication is that fragrance brands possess an inherent emotional advantage that most fail to leverage systematically.

2.3 Brand Longevity in the Fragrance and Cosmetics Sector

The literature on brand longevity across categories identifies three consistent predictors of decade-long survival: the accumulation of genuine trust over time (Aaker, 1996), the embedding of brand behavior into consumer ritual and daily routine (Wansink, 1994), and the construction of what Collins and Porras (1994) term a “preserved core,” a set of organizational values and commitments that remain stable through market cycles. In the fragrance and cosmetics sector, these predictors are overlaid with the additional dynamics of trend velocity, the sensory primacy of the product, and a consumer base that has been repeatedly disappointed by wellness opportunism, a pattern of over-claiming scientific efficacy, misrepresenting ingredient sourcing, or abandoning sustainability commitments under financial pressure.

Chevalier and Mayzlin's (2006) research on online reviews established that peer-generated brand evaluations are significantly more influential on purchase behavior than brand-produced content, a finding whose implications have only amplified as peer spaces have proliferated and brand-controlled channels have lost credibility. In the beauty sector, the emergence of ingredient-fluent consumer communities such as Reddit's SkincareAddiction subreddit, with 1.6 million members, represents a qualitative shift in the consumer's capacity to evaluate brand claims independently of brand marketing.

2.4 The Peer Influence Paradigm

Word-of-mouth influence has been identified across decades of marketing research as the most impactful form of brand communication (Katz & Lazarsfeld, 1955; Berger, 2013). Its impact derives from three characteristics: source credibility, whereby the recommender is perceived as having no commercial interest in the outcome; relational accountability, whereby the recommender is personally known to the recipient; and specificity, whereby the recommendation is contextualized to the recipient's particular situation. These three characteristics are precisely what brand-produced content, influencer partnerships, and AI-generated recommendations fail to replicate.

Berger's (2013) analysis of contagious content identifies social currency, triggers, emotion, public visibility, practical value, and narrative as the six drivers of word-of-mouth transmission. Of these, the closed community spaces to which consumer trust has migrated in the 2020s, including Reddit, Discord, and offline clubs, are particularly effective generators of social currency and narrative. Membership in a knowledgeable in-group, and the story of discovering and recommending a product within it, constitutes a form of peer signal that brand communications cannot manufacture.

2.5 Cultural Branding Theory

Hall's (1976) anthropological Iceberg Model of Culture identifies culture as a three-layer structure: a visible surface layer of observable behaviors and artifacts, a below-surface layer of implicit behavioral norms and social rituals, and a foundational deep layer of worldview, values, and assumptive frameworks.

Developed originally for cross-cultural communication, the model's structural logic translates directly to brand strategy: Visible cultural expressions are only legible and credible when grounded in the invisible layers beneath them.

Holts (2004) cultural branding framework established that the most durable brands function as identity myths, providing consumers with symbolic resources for constructing and expressing identity in response to social anxieties and cultural tensions. Holt argues that iconic brand status cannot be achieved through conventional brand equity strategies focused on benefits, personalities, and emotional associations alone. Brand status requires the brand to operate as a genuine participant in culture, one that takes positions, embodies worldviews, and accumulates cultural credibility over time. McCracken's (1986) earlier framework on cultural meaning transfer provides the theoretical grounding for understanding how brands become vehicles for cultural identity.

3. Theoretical Framework: The Triangle of Survival

3.1 Framework Overview

The Triangle of Survival is an original strategic framework developed through the research conducted for this paper. It proposes that brand longevity, defined as the capacity to sustain consumer relationships that compound over a decade rather than collapse within a trend cycle, is achieved through the simultaneous activation of three interlocking strategic levers: Peer-to-Peer Influence, Cultural Architecture, and Experiential Design.

Each lever addresses a distinct dimension of the consumer relationship. Peer-to-Peer Influence targets the question of Trust, specifically how a brand earns credibility in spaces it does not control and conversations it did not initiate. Cultural Architecture targets the question of Desire, how a brand embeds itself into consumer identity at a depth that makes switching behaviorally costly rather than merely financially inconvenient. Experiential Design targets the conversion of Trust and Desire into emotional ownership, the condition in which the consumer’s relationship with the brand is characterized not by loyalty program participation but by a genuine sense of insider belonging that competitive offers cannot readily displace.

3.2 Why Existing Frameworks Are Insufficient

Keller's (1993) customer-based brand equity model defines brand equity as the differential effect of brand knowledge on consumer response to the marketing of the brand. This framework has generated substantial insight into how brands accumulate value through awareness, associations, perceived quality, and loyalty. However, it was constructed for an environment in which brand-controlled communication channels were the primary mechanism of brand knowledge construction and in which consumer trust in institutional brand actors was substantially higher than the 2026 environment reflects.

Aaker's (1991) brand equity model similarly centers on brand-controlled assets, including perceived quality, brand awareness, brand associations, brand loyalty, and proprietary assets, without accounting for the structural shift in which consumers have migrated their trust-building activity into spaces that brands cannot enter, purchase, or systematically influence. The Triangle of Survival does not replace these foundational frameworks. Rather, it extends them for an environment in which the consumer, not the brand, controls the primary trust-building conversation.

3.3 The Compounding Logic

The framework's central strategic insight is that each lever in isolation produces a partial and commercially vulnerable outcome. A brand with strong peer-to-peer presence but shallow cultural architecture may be widely discussed and positively regarded, yet it will lack the behavioral switching costs that create durable retention. A brand with deep cultural architecture but limited experiential investment will be admired and intellectually preferred, yet it will fail to generate the insider belonging that produces the advocacy and lifetime value documented in the emotional connection literature. A brand with exceptional experiential design but no peer-trusted community presence will create isolated brand evangelists whose enthusiasm has no community through which to propagate.

Only the simultaneous activation of all three levers produces what this paper terms the compounding relationship: a consumer-brand bond that becomes more resistant to competitive disruption over time, generates advocacy that reaches into peer-trusted spaces organically, and accumulates the behavioral, emotional, and cultural switching costs that characterize decade-spanning brand loyalty.

4. Lever One: Peer-to-Peer Influence and Earning Trust in Spaces Brands Do Not Control

4.1 The Institutional Trust Collapse

The 2026 Edelman Trust Barometer, drawing on 26 consecutive years of longitudinal data across more than 30 countries, documents one of the most consequential shifts in the commercial environment: a systematic migration of consumer trust away from institutional actors and toward personal networks. The 2026 data reveals that consumers are actively losing trust in national government leaders (net trust change: -16, major news organizations (-11), and foreign business leaders (-6), while reporting trust gains in neighbors, family members, and friends (+11), coworkers (+11), and their own CEO (+9). The directional conclusion is unambiguous: Shared institutions lose credibility as personal networks gain it.

This migration is not a recent phenomenon. The Edelman data traces a continuous directional trend across more than 2 decades, accelerating sharply in the post-pandemic period. For brand managers, the commercial implication is precise: The channels through which brands have historically constructed trust, including advertising, editorial coverage, influencer partnerships, and brand-produced social content, are operating within an institutional credibility framework that is actively contracting. The consumer is not simply ignoring brand communications; they are systematically discounting them in favor of peer signals from sources they personally know and trust.

Proprietary research conducted for this capstone corroborates these findings within the beauty category. Of 529 American beauty buyers surveyed, only 2% identified the brand’s own marketing as a trusted source. Meanwhile, 45% reported placing primary trust in family and friends, validating what the research identifies as insular trust: a pattern in which consumers contract their trust inward toward small, values-aligned circles. Edelman's global data suggests that 70% of people now exhibit this mindset. This is not a temporary retrenchment. It is a structural reorientation of how trust is formed and distributed.
Ninety percent of executives believe their customers highly trust them. Only 30% of customers do.

4.2 The Historical Arc: Peer Commerce Before and After Mass Media

To understand how consumer trust in beauty has eroded to its current state, it is necessary to examine the architecture through which trust was originally built. Trust was not historically institutional in character. Commerce began as peer-to-peer. Avon Products, at its operational peak, deployed 6.5 million representatives across more than 100 countries, each functioning as a trusted peer rather than a brand ambassador (Global Cosmetic Industry, 2011). Mary Kay Cosmetics built a multi-billion-dollar enterprise across more than 40 markets on the same structural logic: interpersonal recommendation within a relationship of genuine acquaintance.

Television and print media interrupted this peer intimacy for approximately half a century, interposing brand-controlled narratives between the product and the consumer's peer network. Social media briefly promised to restore peer intimacy and, in its earliest phase, did. However, the consumer has since identified social media as another brand-controlled channel, one in which algorithms are optimized for advertiser yield, influencers operate under brand contracts, and content incentive structures reward reach over authenticity. Trust in these channels has been systematically eroded. The migration has consequently shifted toward smaller, more resistant, community-owned spaces that brands cannot purchase, optimize algorithmically, or systematically infiltrate.

4.3 Where Trust Has Migrated

Three distinct typologies of community space are absorbing the trust that mass platforms have lost, each operating according to distinct structural mechanics that produce distinct forms of peer signal.

4.3.1 Reddit: The Verdict Model

Reddit's growth trajectory reflects its function as the canonical open peer trust space. As of Q1 2026, the platform reported 126.8 million daily active users, representing a 17% year-over-year increase, with beauty-specific communities of considerable depth and rigor: The SkincareAddiction subreddit claims 1.6 million members and the MakeupAddiction subreddit exceeds 3 million (Reddit, Inc., 2026). What distinguishes these communities from conventional product review environments is their institutionalized skepticism. A Reddit beauty thread does not produce a recommendation in the ordinary sense; it produces a verdict arrived at through collective interrogation that breaks down ingredient profiles, compares formulation claims against independent dermatological evidence, surfaces dissenting experiences alongside majority endorsements, and operates without any commercial interest in the outcome.

The commercial value of this verdict model for brands is paradoxical. The same community skepticism that scrutinizes and sometimes negates brand claims also produces, when a brand survives the scrutiny, the most credible endorsement available in the current market. A brand that earns positive consensus on the SkincareAddiction subreddit has been stress-tested in ways that no paid media campaign can replicate.

4.3.2 Discord: The Belonging Model

Discord's growth is structurally different from Reddit’s. Its 20 million daily active users, more than half of whom are non-gamers (Discord, 2025), use the platform not primarily for information retrieval but for community participation. On Instagram, consumers perform their interests for an audience. On TikTok, they broadcast them. On Discord, they inhabit those interests alongside others who share the same depth of engagement. A fragrance Discord server operates according to a vocabulary, depth of reference, and assumed knowledge base that differentiates genuine enthusiasts from casual consumers. The self-selection that results produces a community in which recommendations carry the weight of expertise and authentic enthusiasm rather than commercial incentive.

4.3.3 Offline Clubs: The Accountability Model

Scent clubs, ingredient-focused beauty groups, cookbook clubs, and similar offline communities represent the highest-trust form of peer influence currently operating. These spaces reintroduce two qualities that digital consumption has systematically eliminated: embodied proof and social accountability. When a trusted peer presents a consumer with a product in a physical setting, the recommendation is accompanied by sensory evidence that digital representation cannot replicate. More significantly, the recommender retains a social stake in the accuracy of their recommendation; they will encounter the consumer again and will be held accountable for the advice they gave. This accountability structure, in which the recommender bears a genuine cost for inaccurate recommendations, produces the most credible peer signal that exists and, not incidentally, the one that brands cannot manufacture.

4.4 The AI Paradox

The most consequential emerging variable in the peer trust landscape is artificial intelligence. This paper distinguishes between two categories of AI presence in the consumer's environment, as the commercial and ethical implications of each differ substantially.

The first category is AI that the consumer actively chose: generative AI platforms including ChatGPT, Claude, and Gemini. ChatGPT reached 100 million users within 2 months of launch, the fastest consumer adoption rate in recorded history, and has since reached 900 million weekly active users. The demographic composition of this user base has shifted materially. At launch, approximately 20% of users were female; by 2026, that figure stands at 52%. More than half of consumers have already replaced traditional search engines with generative AI for product recommendations (Content Kettle, 2025). The adoption is genuine and the utility is real.

The second category is AI that the consumer did not invite: synthetic content appearing on brand websites, on social platforms, and, with growing frequency, inside peer spaces themselves. A 2025 study found that 15% of Reddit posts are now AI-generated, representing a 146% increase since 2021 (Originality.AI, 2025). Fake AI-generated reviews can be purchased for as little as $2.23 per unit. In 2025, researchers at the University of Zurich conducted a now widely criticized experiment on Reddit's "Change My View" subreddit, deploying AI bots to post over 1,000 comments across 4 months while representing themselves as human participants. The study was subsequently deemed unethical and was never officially published. Its substantive finding, that the AI-generated comments were in several respects more persuasive than those produced by human participants, underscores the magnitude of the threat that synthetic content poses to the integrity of peer-trust environments.

The commercial and structural implications extend beyond the question of individual deception. AI recommendations are synthesized from open peer spaces, including Reddit threads, review platforms, and public forums, and then reconstituted into outputs that carry the register of authoritative advice while retaining none of the accountability that made the original peer conversations trustworthy. The peer signal, in effect, is processed and stripped of its most important properties. The simultaneous contamination of open peer channels by synthetic AI content and brand infiltration is accelerating consumer migration into spaces that neither brands nor AI can access, including private messaging groups, invite-only communities, and offline settings.

Seventy-six percent of consumers report concern that they will soon be unable to distinguish real people from artificial ones online (SQ Magazine, 2025).

4.5 The Strategic Implication: Becoming Worth Belonging To

The directional shift documented in this section, from institutional brand trust to peer community trust and from brand-controlled channels to brand-inaccessible spaces, is not a cyclical fluctuation. It is a structural reorganization of the consumer's trust architecture. Brands cannot purchase belonging in the current environment. They cannot optimize for it algorithmically. They cannot manufacture the social accountability that makes peer recommendation credible.

The strategic question that every fragrance and cosmetics brand must now answer is not how to reach the consumer more efficiently in the spaces they previously inhabited. It is how to become a brand that genuine community members choose to discuss, defend, and recommend in spaces that brands cannot enter, because the brand has earned that conversation through product integrity, community respect, and a consistent record of doing what it claims to do. This requires three concrete strategic postures. First, decentralize the brand voice by creating conditions for authentic peer conversation rather than broadcasting. Second, optimize for context over reach, recognizing that a single conversation in the right closed community is commercially more valuable than a high-volume campaign in a contaminated feed. Third, prioritize relationships over transactional key performance indicators, because the metrics that matter in this environment, including community respect, verdict quality, and earned advocacy, do not appear in standard performance dashboards.

5. Lever Two: Cultural Architecture and Building Desire Across All Layers of Consumer Identity

5.1 The Saturation Problem

The second dimension of the choice paradox facing fragrance and cosmetics brands is not the volume of available options but the indistinguishability of those options. In a market where every claim has been advanced, every aesthetic replicated, and every collaboration format executed, what is scarce is not consumer attention but consumer trust. Kantar BrandZ data demonstrates that brands with high cultural vibrancy grow nearly six times faster than those without meaningful cultural connection (Kantar, 2025). More than 52% of consumers now actively evaluate a brand's underlying values and organizational commitments before purchasing (Forrester Research/Illumin, 2025). Among Generation Z consumers specifically, 66% report spending more time with community-created brand content than with official brand marketing (Publicis/Razorfish, 2024).

These findings converge on a single strategic reality. Traditional cultural marketing, including campaigns, celebrity endorsements, and product collaborations, may make a brand visible. It no longer reliably makes the brand trusted or desired at the depth required for sustained commercial relationships. And sustained commercial relationships are precisely what the next decade demands.

5.2 The Cultural Iceberg Applied to Brand Strategy

This paper adapts Hall's (1976) Iceberg Model of Culture as a strategic framework for brand cultural architecture. Hall's original model identifies three layers of cultural operation: a visible surface layer of observable cultural expressions; a middle layer of implicit behavioral norms, rituals, and shared language; and a foundational deep layer of worldview, values, and assumptive frameworks so embedded in a culture's operation as to be largely invisible to its members. The model's central insight, that visible cultural expressions read as authentic and durable only when they are structurally connected to the invisible layers beneath them, translates directly to the challenge of building brand desire that persists across time.

5.2.1 Surface Culture: Popular Culture

Surface culture comprises the visible, replicable, and most commercially immediate layer of a brand's cultural presence: collaborations, limited-edition releases, viral hero products, pop-up activations, and color stories. Rhode's lip-case phone cover, Erewhon's celebrity smoothies, and Sol de Janeiro's annual seasonal fragrances represent this layer at its most commercially effective, drawing consumer attention, generating social transmission, and creating the cultural moments that make brands discussed.

The limitation of surface culture is structural. Everything visible can be replicated. Accelerated manufacturing has compressed the lead time between trend and dupe. AI-generated content has made aesthetic replication nearly instantaneous. A brand that operates exclusively at the surface layer is not building cultural equity; it is executing cultural arbitrage with a continuously narrowing competitive window. Each activation reads as isolated rather than coherent, and the consumer's attention, though initially captured, does not convert into belief.

5.2.2 Shallow Culture: Ritual and Lexicon

Below the surface lies the most commercially durable layer available to fragrance and cosmetics brands: the behavioral grammar that marks membership in a community of practice. The double-cleanse, the AM/PM skincare split, the vocabulary of niacinamide, barrier repair, and skin cycling: None of this terminology or practice existed in mass American beauty 15 years ago. Today it constitutes an unspoken behavioral language through which skincare consumers identify one another and evaluate brand credibility.

Proprietary research conducted for this capstone supports the commercial significance of this layer. In a survey of beauty buyers asked why they love their favorite brand, 34% cited the fact that it had become a part of their routine, and 23% cited the fact that it had taught them something substantive. Taken together, these responses indicate that nearly six in 10 consumers form their deepest brand attachments not at the level of product efficacy or aesthetic appeal, but at the level of behavioral integration and knowledge formation. The brands that have won this layer did so by owning a behavior rather than a SKU. The Ordinary did not merely launch a niacinamide serum; it taught its consumer community to read ingredient percentages, converting the act of label-reading from an intimidating technical task to a form of expertise. Drunk Elephant invented the practice of cocktailing, mixing active ingredients according to skin type and goal, and embedded that practice into its community's daily ritual.

Once a brand is embedded in a consumer's daily ritual and shared vocabulary, the switching cost is no longer primarily financial; it is behavioral. A consumer who has organized their morning and evening routine around a brand's logic would be required to reconstruct fundamental daily habits in order to abandon it. This form of behavioral lock-in, which the brand equity literature distinguishes from the purely financial lock-in generated by loyalty programs, constitutes the most durable form of consumer retention available.

5.2.3 Deep Culture: Credibility Culture

The base of the cultural iceberg is the layer that cannot be launched, announced, or produced through a campaign. Credibility culture is built over years through the accumulation of structural proof: clinical trials with published results, supply chain transparency, governance decisions that demonstrate organizational values even at commercial cost, and a record of consistency under conditions of external pressure. In proprietary consumer data gathered for this capstone, nearly half of beauty buyers cited the existence of real proof that the product works as a primary driver of brand love, placing clinical and structural credibility at the foundation of deep cultural resonance.

Ritual Vitamins has published every supplier relationship and completed four independent clinical trials, making its efficacy claims verifiable rather than merely asserted. Patagonia transferred corporate ownership to the Holdfast Collective trust, a governance decision that made its environmental commitment legally irrevocable. La Mer's 6-decade Miracle Broth provenance, a historically specific and documented origin story for the product's core ingredient, constitutes structural credibility that competitors cannot replicate because it is tied to a particular history. In the fragrance and cosmetics sector, Amouage’s grounding in Omani royal heritage, indigenous ingredients, and artisanal manufacturing constitutes precisely this form of deep cultural credibility.

In a market saturated with efficacy claims, the only adequate response to the consumer's implicit question of why they should believe a brand is structural proof. Such proof, by definition, lives at the base of the iceberg and not on its surface.

5.3 The Strategic Punchline: Coherence Across All Three Layers

The most common cultural strategy error is selecting one layer at the expense of the others. Surface culture alone produces brands that are trends: visible, imitated, and exhausted within 18 months. Shallow culture, meaning ritual and lexicon, without the foundation of deep culture produces wellness schemes: brands whose behavioral authority is vulnerable to a single ingredient scandal or an efficacy claim refutation. Deep culture, genuine credibility, without surface or shallow culture presence produces brands that are respected by experts and effectively invisible to the mass market.

The brands that demonstrate decade-spanning commercial durability are those in which the three layers are coherent and mutually reinforcing. Erewhon's celebrity smoothie collaborations, among the most commercially successful surface culture activations in recent history, carry weight because Erewhon has operated as a principled organic grocer in Los Angeles for over 6 decades. The surface moment is legible as authentic because the deep culture is real. The Ordinary’s viral content lands with community credibility because DECIEM's clinical philosophy is architecturally deep and consistently demonstrated. The surface and the depth point toward the same set of values. For fragrance and cosmetics brands operating in a category defined by extended consumer relationships with a base that has been repeatedly disappointed by overclaiming, the requirement to operate coherently across all three cultural layers is not optional. It is the structural prerequisite for sustained commercial viability.

6. Lever Three: Experiential Design and Engineering Emotional Ownership That Lasts

6.1 The Transactional Paradigm and Its Commercial Failure

The dominant architecture of commercial brand experience over the past 3 decades has been engineered for the brand's operational efficiency rather than for the consumer's emotional baseline. Store layouts have been optimized for conversion velocity. Digital interfaces have been built for frictionless repeat purchase.

Retail activations have been staged primarily to generate content rather than genuine connection. Every touchpoint has been architected to move the consumer through a transaction rather than to invite them into a relationship.

This transactional orientation, treating the consumer as an audience to be converted rather than a participant to be invited in, has produced experiences that are functionally adequate and emotionally forgettable. Two-thirds of consumers openly state that they want brands to help them feel deeper and more intense emotions (Forrester Research/Illumin, 2025). Yet the predominant commercial experience architecture is designed to maximize the efficiency of the purchase event, not to generate the emotional state that would make the consumer a long-term advocate. The result is a paradox: an industry that has become increasingly sophisticated at driving transactions while simultaneously producing fewer consumers who feel genuinely attached to the brands they buy.

6.2 Emotion as the Primary Commercial Asset

The quantified commercial case for emotional brand connection is among the most consistently replicated findings in contemporary marketing research. Motista's (2018) analysis of more than 100,000 retail customers demonstrates that emotionally connected consumers exhibit 306% higher lifetime value, a 5.1-year average brand tenure versus 3.4 years for merely satisfied customers, and recommendation rates of 71% versus 45%. Magids et al. (2015) independently confirm that emotionally connected customers are 52% more commercially valuable, exhibiting greater purchase frequency, reduced price sensitivity, and elevated peer advocacy.

Proprietary research gathered for this capstone adds additional texture to this picture. Buyers who reported having a genuine brand experience, as distinct from a transactional purchase interaction, were four times more likely to defend the brand publicly when it faced criticism. Ninety-seven percent of consumers who reported feeling genuinely welcomed into a brand's world became active advocates for that brand. Emotionally loyal customers pay, on average, 25% more for the same product. These are not marginal improvements in commercial performance. They represent a qualitatively different relationship between the brand and the consumer, one in which competitive alternatives lose much of their conventional leverage.

The strategic significance of these findings lies in the distinction between two different consumer states. The satisfied consumer continues purchasing as long as no superior alternative presents itself. The emotionally connected consumer has developed a relationship with the brand that competitive offers cannot readily displace because the relationship is no longer primarily about the product. It is about identity, belonging, and the accumulated narrative of the consumer's experience with the brand over time.

6.3 The Architecture of Emotional Experience: Don Norman's Three Levels

To build emotional attachment at scale, this paper draws on the framework developed by Don Norman, the foundational theorist of user experience design, who demonstrated that the human brain processes experiences on three distinct levels. Applied to fragrance and cosmetics, this framework provides a systematic architecture for translating abstract trust and fleeting desire into durable loyalty.

6.3.1 Level One: Visceral

Visceral experience is immediate, instinctive, and automatic. It is the response that precedes rational evaluation. In a beauty context, it manifests in sensory details such as the acoustic quality of a magnetic closure, the weight distribution of a glass bottle, or the texture of a formulation at first application. These signals operate before the consumer has formed a conscious opinion; they constitute the first chapter of the emotional narrative the consumer will carry with them for the life of the product. Visceral design is not ornamentation. It is the initial communication of value, quality, and care.

6.3.2 Level Two: Behavioral

Behavioral experience is where the brain evaluates execution and performance. This level encompasses the consistency and precision of a product's functional delivery: the dose accuracy of a pump dispenser, the reproducibility of a skincare result across weeks and months, the reliability with which a fragrance opens and evolves. When a product performs flawlessly and consistently over time, a daily routine is transformed into a comforting ritual. Behavioral design is the level at which trust is forged in real time. It is also the mechanism by which a product earns its place in the consumer's daily life, establishing the behavioral switching costs that are the most durable form of retention available.

6.3.3 Level Three: Reflective

Reflective experience is the deepest level: conscious self-image and symbolic meaning. This is the level at which the consumer asks not merely whether a product works but also what using it communicates about who they are. A brand that reaches the reflective level transitions from functional tool to identity marker. It becomes, in the language of Denmark's Design Museum's Belongings exhibition, not merely an object the consumer uses but a possession the consumer tends to and identifies with. Brands that operate successfully at this level are not replaceable by superior performance from a competitor. They are woven into the consumer's self-narrative in ways that rational product comparison does not easily disrupt.

When a brand designs successfully across all three levels, the outcome is the simultaneous construction of Trust, through Visceral and Behavioral reliability, and Desire, through Reflective identity resonance. The consumer no longer perceives the brand as an entity attempting to sell them something. They perceive it as an extension of themselves.

6.4 From Audience to Explorer: Three Experience Typologies

The brands that have most successfully engineered emotional ownership have done so by making the same fundamental strategic reorientation: from asking how they can show the consumer something to asking how they can let the consumer into something. This shift, from broadcasting to revealing, is the experiential equivalent of the peer trust dynamic described in Lever One. The consumer who is granted genuine access to something real about the brand becomes an insider. Insiders exhibit systematically different commercial behavior: They do not readily defect, do not require discounting to repurchase, and do not need to be reacquired after lapsed purchase cycles.

6.4.1 The In-Depth Brand Journey

The in-depth brand journey transforms the act of purchase from a transaction into an origin story, a narrative the consumer carries with them for the life of the product and beyond. Volvo's Overseas Delivery Program, operating since the 1970s, provides the definitive cross-category example. Customers travel to Gothenburg, Sweden, tour the specific factory where their vehicle was assembled, drive through Europe, and receive the car shipped to their home market carrying a story that is specifically and irreducibly theirs. Customers who participate in the program demonstrate a 90% or higher repurchase likelihood and a 99% satisfaction rate, commercial outcomes that no conventional marketing program generates from a single consumer interaction.

Lakrids by Bulow, the Danish confectionery brand, operationalized the same logic in a consumer goods context by opening its factory and research and development center to consumers as a foundational business philosophy rather than a promotional mechanism. Consumers brought inside the brand ecosystem rather than simply sold a product spend 12% more per order, purchase 61% more frequently, and deliver 80% higher 12-month customer value than those who have not had that access. The company's topline result was 19% revenue growth, reaching $70 million.

Amouage, the Omani luxury fragrance house, constructed this logic into its physical infrastructure through the creation of a visitor center in Oman's capital city, Muscat. Functioning not as a retail flagship but as an origin space, the center allows visitors to engage directly with the raw ingredients, regional history, and artisanal craft that constitute the provenance of the fragrances. Over 15,000 visitors move through the center annually, and the commercial pattern is consistent: Witnessing the process of making transforms the act of purchasing into an act of belonging. In 2025, Amouage recorded global retail sales exceeding $430 million, representing 66% year-over-year growth. CEO Marco Parsiegla articulated the organizational philosophy with precision: We are not chasing trends. We are building a legacy.

6.4.2 Product Designed for Life

A second typology of experience-driven emotional ownership operates at the level of the product itself, through the deliberate design of products for maximum longevity, repairability, and lasting quality in explicit rejection of planned obsolescence and the continuous-launch cadence that characterizes most consumer categories. Thuma, the direct-to-consumer furniture brand, built $153 million in annual revenue on the premise that consumers should buy once, buy correctly, and never need to replace. Four finish options. Simple assembly. Lifetime warranty. Absolute clarity of commitment. Thuma does not sell furniture; it sells the last purchasing decision the consumer will need to make in that category. This is emotional design operating not as a philosophy but as a business model.

In the fragrance context, this typology manifests in the deliberate cultivation of a reference fragrance, a scent so deeply associated with the brand's identity, heritage, and community that it functions as a permanent cultural artifact rather than a seasonal offering. The brands that achieve this status, including Chanel No. 5, Dior Sauvage, and, in the niche sector, Amouage's Interlude and Memoir, generate emotional ownership qualitatively distinct from affinity for a trend product. They become part of the consumer's self-definition.

6.4.3 Gamified Self-Discovery Through Data Storytelling

The third typology of experience-driven emotional ownership operates in the digital domain through a mechanism that is structurally distinct from conventional digital gamification. The brands achieving the highest engagement and commercial outcomes in this space are not deploying leaderboards, badges, or reward points. They are making the consumer the subject of the brand experience rather than its audience, a structural inversion that generates a qualitatively different form of engagement.

Oura and WHOOP provide the most commercially documented examples. Each platform transforms passively gathered biometric data into a daily personalized narrative about the consumer's own sleep architecture, readiness, and recovery. The consumer is not consuming brand content; they are exploring themselves through the brand's analytical framework. The brand has positioned the consumer as the protagonist of the experience, and the experience refreshes every morning. Oura users open their application an average of 2.7 times per day; WHOOP maintains an 83% daily active engagement rate. WHOOP reached a $10.1 billion valuation in early 2026 on $1.1 billion in annualized revenue; Oura achieved an $11 billion valuation on $1 billion in 2025 revenue. For fragrance and cosmetics brands, the data-storytelling typology suggests an underexplored strategic frontier, including skin health tracking tied to product ritual, personalized fragrance journey documentation, or community-based ingredient learning tools that translate product education into consumer expertise.

7. Cross-Lever Analysis: The Compounding Effect and Brand Scorecard

7.1 Why Each Lever Alone Is Insufficient

The framework's predictive power is most clearly demonstrated through the failure cases of partial activation. A brand with strong peer community presence but shallow cultural architecture may generate enthusiastic discussion and positive trial but will not develop the behavioral switching costs that create retention. It will be discussed as a discovery and replaced by the next one. A brand with deep cultural credibility but limited community presence will be respected by experts and effectively invisible to the mass consumer. A brand with exceptional experiential design but no peer-trusted recommendation pathway will create isolated evangelists whose enthusiasm has no community through which to propagate.

Victoria's Secret provides the cautionary case study in the adjacent category: a brand that for decades operated almost exclusively at the surface cultural level, with physical stores as spectacle and fragrance as ambient backdrop to a visual identity, without developing the deep cultural credibility or community peer presence that would have insulated it from the values-driven consumer migration of the 2010s. When the surface-level cultural moment passed, the brand had no deeper layers to sustain commercial momentum.

7.2 The Brand Scorecard

Table 1 applies the Triangle of Survival framework diagnostically to four brands: two in the fragrance and cosmetics sector, one emerging beauty brand, and one legacy cautionary case. The scorecard is intended to demonstrate the framework's explanatory and predictive utility, not to provide an exhaustive brand audit.

Table 1. Triangle of Survival Brand Scorecard

Brand	Peer-to-Peer	Culture	Experience	Trajectory
Amouage	★★★★★	★★★★★	★★★★★	**+66% YoY**
The Ordinary	★★★★★	★★★★★	★★★☆☆	**Leader**
Rhode	★★★★☆	★★★☆☆	★★★☆☆	**Growing**

Victoria's Secret	★★☆☆☆	★★☆☆☆	★★☆☆☆	**Declining**

Source: Authors' analysis based on publicly available brand performance data and primary research.

Amouage demonstrates full-triangle activation. Its visitor center in Muscat generates direct peer-to-peer community advocacy through experiential immersion; its heritage-rooted provenance and royal Omani history constitute deep cultural credibility, with surface moments driven by limited-edition collaborations; and its origin-journey visitor experience converts brand admirers into long-term brand insiders. The commercial outcome of $430 million in retail sales at 66% year-over-year growth in 2025 represents the measurable signature of compounding activation across all three levers.

The Ordinary demonstrates near-complete activation with a relative experiential gap. Its community presence through the SkincareAddiction subreddit and ingredient-focused forums is unrivaled in the prestige skincare category; its clinical philosophy constitutes deep cultural credibility with surface viral moments; and its experiential investment, while growing, has not yet reached the depth of the in-journey typology. Rhode demonstrates strong contemporary momentum with surface cultural strength and growing peer presence, but has not yet constructed the deep cultural layer that would insulate it from the trend cycle vulnerability that all surface-dominant brands face. Victoria's Secret demonstrates the commercial trajectory of a brand in which all three levers have simultaneously weakened.

7.3 The Fragrance and Cosmetics Sector Specificity

The fragrance and cosmetics sector presents both the most acute version of the Triangle of Survival challenge and the most distinctive set of structural advantages for addressing it. The sensory primacy of fragrance and its unique capacity to generate emotionally encoded memory through olfactory processing means that fragrance brands have access to a form of consumer emotional attachment that is neurologically distinct from that generated by visual or functional product categories (Herz, 2016). A fragrance that is associated with a significant life moment does not merely generate repurchase intention; it generates a form of emotional ownership that is among the most durable in consumer psychology.

The strategic imperative for fragrance and cosmetics brands is to leverage this inherent emotional advantage through systematic application of the Triangle of Survival: building peer community trust through ingredient and provenance transparency, constructing cultural architecture that extends from viral surface moments to clinical and heritage deep culture, and designing origin experiences that transform the act of purchasing a fragrance into the beginning of a relationship with a place, a craft, and a community.

8. Recommendations: A Survival Guide for the Next Decade

8.1 Peer-to-Peer Recommendations

First, brands must invest in becoming genuinely verdict-worthy in closed community spaces rather than attempting to optimize for algorithmic visibility in brand-accessible platforms. This requires product and formulation decisions that can withstand expert ingredient-level scrutiny, supply chain and efficacy transparency that enables community members to evaluate claims independently, and the patient cultivation of authentic community relationships rather than the transactional engagement of seeding programs.

Second, fragrance and cosmetics brands should design specifically for offline peer moments, creating conditions under which genuine community members physically encounter the product and are motivated to discuss it authentically. Scent clubs, ingredient master classes, and expert-led community events function not as marketing activations in the conventional sense but as peer signal generators, producing the embodied, accountable recommendations that no digital channel can replicate.

Third, brands must develop what this paper terms an AI-resistant signal: a layer of brand credibility grounded in verifiable structural proof, including clinical studies, supplier transparency, and documented provenance, that survives the processing effect of AI synthesis. AI recommendations are only as reliable as the peer data from which they are derived; brands whose community-generated content is rich in verifiable specificity will be better represented in AI synthesis than brands whose community presence is thin or primarily brand-generated. As open peer spaces face increasing contamination from synthetic content, the brands with unambiguous and independently verifiable real-world proof will retain the most credible signal.

8.2 Cultural Architecture Recommendations

First, brands should conduct an honest diagnostic of which cultural layer they currently occupy and what the coherence gap between layers represents. Most fragrance and cosmetics brands will find they have surface culture presence in the form of seasonal campaigns, collaborations, and PR activations, and either an emerging shallow culture in the form of a signature ritual or product behavior, or an emerging deep culture in the form of a founder story or ingredient provenance, but rarely all three in coherent alignment.

Second, the highest-leverage cultural investment for most fragrance and cosmetics brands is in shallow culture: developing and consistently reinforcing a specific behavior or ritual that the consumer community can own, transmit, and use as a basis for identity within the category. This behavior should be grounded in the product's genuine functional logic and expressed in vocabulary that the community can employ to recognize fellow members and evaluate competing claims. The brands that have most successfully executed this strategy did so by teaching consumers to evaluate rather than simply to purchase.

Third, deep culture investment should be prioritized for brands with authentic heritage, provenance, or clinical evidence that has not been systematically communicated. Radical transparency regarding organizational structure, supplier relationships, and efficacy evidence represents the most durable form of competitive advantage available in the current environment, precisely because it is difficult to replicate quickly and because it generates the kind of independently verifiable proof that withstands both consumer scrutiny and AI processing.

8.3 Experience Recommendations

First, brands should identify the single experience that would allow the most committed consumer genuine access to something real about the brand, including its manufacturing process, ingredient sourcing, founder vision, or craft, and invest in making that experience available, memorable, and story-generative. This experience does not need to be geographically accessible to all consumers; the Amouage and Volvo models demonstrate that scarcity and destination can amplify rather than constrain the community impact of origin experiences.

Second, digital experience investments should shift from transactional optimization, including streamlined checkout and personalized product recommendations, toward data storytelling: building tools that position the consumer as the subject of their own exploration through the brand's analytical framework. For fragrance and cosmetics brands, this might include skin health tracking tied to product ritual, personalized fragrance journey documentation, or community-based ingredient learning tools that translate product education into consumer expertise.

Third, product portfolio decisions should incorporate longevity logic: deliberate investment in reference products, including signature fragrances and hero skincare formulations, positioned as permanent cultural artifacts rather than seasonal launches. The discipline to protect and deepen a reference product rather than fragmenting attention across continuous launches is among the most commercially consequential decisions a fragrance or cosmetics brand can make. Products designed for life, like brands built for longevity, require a different relationship with the consumer from the outset.

8.4 The Integrated Roadmap

The sequencing of Triangle of Survival implementation differs for heritage brands and emerging brands. Heritage brands, with established category authority, existing consumer bases, and documented cultural credibility, should begin with a deep culture audit: systematically making visible the structural credibility that already exists but has not been communicated as proof. The cultural iceberg logic is directly applicable: Surface and shallow culture activations will only land as authentic if the deep layer is clearly established beneath them.

Emerging brands, without established authority but with the agility to build community presence rapidly, should prioritize peer-to-peer investment first, using product integrity and formulation transparency to earn community trust before investing in broader cultural architecture. The Ordinary's trajectory is instructive: Clinical credibility was earned in expert community spaces before viral surface culture moments were pursued, not simultaneously with them.

All brands, regardless of heritage status, should treat experiential design as a continuous investment rather than a periodic activation, recognizing that every consumer touchpoint represents an opportunity to invite the consumer into something genuine, or to move them efficiently through a transaction. The brands that compound over a decade are those that consistently choose the former.

9. Conclusion: The Longevity of Your Business

The fragrance and cosmetics marketplace of 2026 is defined by a paradox that conventional brand strategy was not designed to resolve: The more brands compete on volume, novelty, and promotional spending, the more difficult they make it for any individual brand, including themselves, to be genuinely seen, trusted, or desired. The choice paradox is not a market condition to be navigated with greater tactical sophistication. It is a structural indictment of the competitive logic that generated it.

The Triangle of Survival offers a different logic. It proposes the brands that will survive the next decade are not those that out-spend, out-launch, or out-claim their competitors. They are the brands that out-trust them and out-desire them simultaneously, at depth, and through structural mechanisms that compound over time rather than depreciate within a trend cycle.

Trust without Desire is commercially forgettable. Desire without Trust is commercially temporary.

Neither construct alone is sufficient to produce the sustained consumer relationships that the next decade requires. Together, these two constructs carry the consumer through the complete commercial funnel, from awareness through consideration and conversion, and generate the downstream outcomes of advocacy, retention, and lifetime value that distinguish a durable business from a successful launch.

The three levers through which this compounding is achieved are not marketing tactics. They are strategic commitments: the commitment to become genuinely verdict-worthy in peer spaces that brands cannot purchase or control; the commitment to build cultural architecture that extends from visible surface moments through behavioral ritual to structural credibility; and the commitment to design experiences that grant consumers access to something real about the brand, including its origin, its process, and its community, thereby transforming them from customers into insiders.

Insiders do not respond to competitive discounts in the same way that satisfied consumers do. They do not evaluate new market entrants with neutral curiosity. They do not require re-acquisition after periods of lapsed purchasing. They have developed a relationship with the brand that competitive offers cannot readily displace because that relationship is no longer primarily constituted by the product. It is constituted by identity, knowledge, and a sense of belonging that extends beyond the product category itself.

The brands that activate all three levers, building peer trust, cultural depth, and experiential ownership simultaneously, are building something that operates differently from brand equity as conventionally measured. They are building a brand that their consumers cannot imagine life without. That is the longevity of the business.

References

Aaker, D. A. (1991). *Managing brand equity: Capitalizing on the value of a brand name*. Free Press. Aaker, D. A. (1996). *Building strong brands*. Free Press.

Berger, J. (2013). *Contagious: Why things catch on*. Simon & Schuster.

Chevalier, J. A., & Mayzlin, D. (2006). The effect of word of mouth on sales: Online book reviews. *Journal of Marketing Research, 43*(3), 345–354. https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkr.43.3.345 Collins, J. C., & Porras, J. I. (1994). *Built to last: Successful habits of visionary companies*. HarperBusiness.

Content Kettle. (2025). *AI and beauty product discovery: Consumer behavior report 2025*. Content Kettle Research.

Discord. (2025). *Discord platform statistics: Q1 2025*. Discord, Inc.

Edelman. (2026). 2026 Edelman Trust Barometer: *Trust amid insularity*. Edelman. https://www.edelman.com/trust/2026/trust-barometer

Forrester Research/Illumin. (2025). *Consumer values and purchasing decisions: 2025 annual report*. Illumin.

Fournier, S. (1998). Consumers and their brands: Developing relationship theory in consumer research. *Journal of Consumer Research, 24*(4), 343–373. https://doi.org/10.1086/209515

Fukuyama, F. (1995). *Trust: The social virtues and the creation of prosperity*. Free Press.

Global Cosmetic Industry. (2011). 125 years of Avon: A history of direct selling. *Global Cosmetic Industry Magazine*.

Global Wellness Institute. (2025). *2025 Global Wellness Economy Monitor*. Global Wellness Institute. https://globalwellnessinstitute.org/industry-research/2025-global-wellness-economy-monitor/

Hall, E. T. (1976). *Beyond culture*. Anchor Books.

Herz, R. S. (2016). The role of odor-evoked memory in psychological and physiological health. *Brain Sciences, 6*(3), 22. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci6030022

Holt, D. B. (2004). *How brands become icons: The principles of cultural branding*. Harvard Business School Press.

Iyengar, S. S., & Lepper, M. R. (2000). When choice is demotivating: Can one desire too much of a good thing? *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 79*(6), 995–1006. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.79.6.995

Kantar. (2025). *Cultural vibrancy and brand growth: BrandZ and VIBE data synthesis*. Kantar. https://www.kantar.com/inspiration/brands/from-trendspotting-to-trendsetting

Katz, E., & Lazarsfeld, P. F. (1955). *Personal influence: The part played by people in the flow of mass communications*. Free Press.

Keller, K. L. (1993). Conceptualizing, measuring, and managing customer-based brand equity. *Journal of Marketing, 57*(1), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.1177/002224299305700101

Magids, S., Zorfas, A., & Leemon, D. (2015, November). The new science of customer emotions. *Harvard Business Review, 93*(11), 66–76. https://hbr.org/2015/11/the-new-science-of-customer-emotions McCracken, G. (1986). Culture and consumption: A theoretical account of the structure and movement of the cultural meaning of consumer goods. *Journal of Consumer Research, 13*(1), 71–84. https://doi.org/10.1086/209048

McKinsey & Company. (2025). *State of beauty 2025*. McKinsey & Company. https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/consumer-packaged-goods/our-insights/state-of-beauty

Motista. (2018). *Leveraging the value of emotional connection for retailers* [White paper]. Motista, Inc.

Originality.AI. (2025). *AI-generated content on social platforms: 2025 detection report*. Originality.AI.

Oura. (2025). *Oura Ring user engagement data*. Oura Healthcare.

Publicis/Razorfish. (2024). *Gen Z and brand content: Trust and community report 2024*. Publicis Groupe.

Putnam, R. D. (2000). *Bowling alone: The collapse and revival of American community*. Simon & Schuster.

Reddit, Inc. (2026). *Reddit Q1 2026 earnings release*. Reddit, Inc. https://www.redditinc.com/investor-relations

Schwartz, B. (2004). *The paradox of choice: Why more is less*. Ecco/HarperCollins.

SQ Magazine. (2025). *AI and beauty: Consumer attitudes and adoption report*. SQ Magazine Research.

Sztompka, P. (1999). *Trust: A sociological theory*. Cambridge University Press.

Thomson, M., MacInnis, D. J., & Park, C. W. (2005). The ties that bind: Measuring the strength of consumers' emotional attachments to brands. *Journal of Consumer Psychology, 15*(1), 77–91. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327663jcp1501_10

U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2024). *Business employment dynamics: Survival rates*. U.S. Department of Labor. https://www.bls.gov/bdm/

Vogue Business. (2025). *AI and consumer trust in beauty: 2025 perception survey*. Condé Nast. Voyado. (2025). *Lakrids by Bülow customer loyalty data* [Internal partner data]. Voyado Loyalty Technologies.

Wansink, B. (1994). The dark side of consumer behavior: Empirical examinations of impulsive and compulsive consumption. *Advances in Consumer Research*

WHOOP. (2025). *WHOOP platform engagement and valuation data*. WHOOP, Inc.